

Resistance Testing and Interpretation of Discordant Results

Lisa Armitige, MD, PhD

Lisa Chen, MD

Barbara Seaworth, MD

*...can you help me with these
DST results?*



Case #1

- 23 y/o patient gave birth 8 days ago to a healthy male child that she has been breastfeeding. She visits the ED for cough and a CXR shows bilateral upper lobe cavities
- Sputum is AFB smear positive, GeneXpert MTB/RIF was positive for the presence of *M.tb* and for **rifampin resistance**. MDDR was ordered. Her newborn was evaluated and ruled out for active disease, then started on levofloxacin and high dose INH
- The MDDR returned with mutations in *inhA/katG* (not *fabG1*), *rpoB*. Mutations in *embB* and *pncA* of unknown effect. No mutations in *gyrA* or *gyrB*
- Q: The baby is on window prophylaxis due to 8 days of exposure. What can this tell you about likely fluoroquinolone resistance in the organism?

MDDR Results

- Assuming high-level INH-R from *inhA/katG* results
- Heteroresistance often observed for fluoroquinolones (FQs) so cannot rule out resistance
 - Typically, would need ~20% of population to have mutant allele for detection by Sanger sequencing
 - Next generation sequencing will help to lower limit of detection (~10%)
- Growth-based DST should follow sequencing
 - Would detect FQ-R by growth-based methods (possible discordance with molecular results)
 - If growth on FQ (i.e., resistant), could sequence growth to determine specific mutation
 - If no growth on FQ, would consider susceptible

Case #2

- 46 y/o woman presents with dysfunctional uterine bleeding
- An endometrial biopsy specimen showed granulomas on pathology and cultures grew out *M.tb*. Susceptibilities returned with low level INH and PZA resistance
- Q: How reliable is PZA DST and would checking for *pncA* mutations be more reliable?

Pyrazinamide Testing

- Reports of issue with false resistance and susceptibility but primarily false resistance
- Testing for PZA requires acidified media but pH range is narrow
- PZA DST sensitive to inoculum size
 - Reduced or modified inoculum can mitigate occurrence of false resistance
- PZA is prodrug and must be converted to pyrazinoic acid for activity
 - Pyrazinamidase (PZase) for this activity encoded by *pncA*
 - Mutations in *pncA* that inhibit PZase activity= PZA resistant
- Mutations in *pncA* primarily responsible for PZA-R (70–95%)
- *M. bovis* inherently resistant to PZA (His57Asp in *pncA*)

<https://jcm.asm.org/content/55/12/3552>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5698819/>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3536208/>

Sequencing *pncA* may be more reliable than current phenotypic testing

- In United States, some laboratories already performing *pncA* sequencing instead of or in addition to growth-based methods
 - Wild type might be considered susceptible
 - Nonsynonymous mutations might be considered resistant unless otherwise proven not to be associated
- No hot spot region like other genetic loci
 - Mutations can be spread throughout the open reading frame
- Still understanding contribution of some mutations
 - Not all nonsynonymous mutations are associated with PZA-R
- Other potential mechanisms?

Case #3

- 40 y/o woman with history of adherence difficulties x1-year for pan-sensitive PTB, now returns with molecular evidence by **Xpert, PSQ and MDDR for RIF resistance (*rpoB*)**
- PSQ and MDDR report Asp435Tyr mutation as a **“disputed mutation”** – can you help me understand what this means for me as a clinician making decisions?
- Phenotypic DST showed sensitive for RIF – **molecular vs. phenotypic discordance** – now what?

Low level RIF-R mutations

- Asp435Tyr (Asp516Tyr) is located within the rifampin resistance determining region (RRDR)
 - Referred to as discordant, disputed, or low-level resistance conferring mutation
- Mutation results in elevated MIC near the current critical concentration used for testing
 - Isolates may test as susceptible or have variable results

Low level RIF-R mutations (2)

- Recent WHO technical report recommended change to rifampin critical concentration
 - Resolve discordance between molecular and growth-based results
- WHO Technical Expert Group recommended that mutation would indicate need for treatment using MDR-TB regimen

Case #4

- Patient treated for TB in India 2011, slow response so treatment extended
- Recurrent respiratory infections 2013-2017 with multiple rounds of levofloxacin and linezolid
- In 2019, the patient has relapsed TB; Xpert positive for *M.tb* and rifampin resistance
 - MDDR suggests INH, rifampin, EMB, PZA resistance
 - *gyrA*, no mutations
 - *gyrB*, Asn538Asp mutation
- Questions:
 - What additional evaluation can the lab do to address possible FQ resistance, especially to levofloxacin and moxifloxacin?
 - What is the significance of not finding a *gyrA* mutation?
 - What is the significance of the *gyrB* mutation?
 - Would WGS add any information

Next steps...

- Mutations associated with FQ-R primarily in quinolone resistance determining region (QRDR) of *gyrA*
- Mutations in *gyrB* QRDR are infrequent and less well characterized
- Asn538Asp mutation (also reported as Asn499Asp with revised numbering) associated with resistance to MFX and LFX
 - Functional genetic studies confirmed role in resistance
- Growth-based DST should be performed to assess cross-resistance among FQs
 - Level and pattern of resistance might vary with different *gyrB* mutations
- Lack of *gyrA* mutation could be due to heteroresistance with variant population below limit of detection for molecular assay
 - In MDDR service, presence of both *gyrA* and *gyrB* QRDR mutations in same isolate uncommon

Case #5

Patient with an initial episode of TB

- MDDR:
 - *rpoB* mutation (Ile572Phe) – probably clinically relevant low-level resistance
 - Is additional evaluation available to determine degree of resistance?
 - Is MIC testing for rifampin and rifabutin available? If not, are there plans for it in the future?
 - Rifabutin MIC at another lab ≤ 0.12 ; if real has clinical implications for treatment of patient and her 11 y/o daughter
 - *gyrA* mutation (Ser91Pro)
 - Does this mutation give additional information on how resistant the organism is to the FQs?
 - How do specific mutations give suggestion on degree of resistance?
 - What additional testing can be done?
 - MIC for moxifloxacin = 4.0 (resistant)

Low level RIF-R mutations

- Ile572Phe also referred to as Ile491Phe when using *M. tuberculosis* numbering system is located outside the rifampin resistance determining region (RRDR)
 - Referred to as discordant, disputed, or low-level resistance conferring mutation
 - Experimentally, determined to elevate MICs in *M. tuberculosis*
- In United States, 8 isolates with this mutation (2018–2020) compared to 256 isolates with the most common Ser531Leu mutation

Low level RIF-R mutations (2)

- MIC testing might be performed
 - Proposed breakpoint for RIF ($\leq 1 \mu\text{g/ml}$) for commercial broth microdilution assay (CLSI document M62)
 - MIC testing not widely available and no FDA cleared assay for this purpose
 - CDC TB Laboratory implementing MIC testing in 2021 with reporting for limited drugs
 - Additional drugs to be added to clinical report in future

Fluoroquinolone resistance

- Resistance primarily associated with nonsynonymous mutations in the QRDR of *gyrA*
 - Mutations in *gyrB* also less frequently reported
- Ser91Pro considered a high-confidence resistance marker
- Substitutions occur in *gyrA* at codons 88, 90, 91, and 94
 - Gly88Cys, Asp94Gly, Asp94His, and Asp94Asn—high MFX MICs
 - Asp89Asn, Ser91Pro, and Asp94Tyr—moderate MFX MICs
 - Ala90Val and Asp94Ala—low MFX MICs
- Level of resistance to FQs dependent on specific mutation
- Heteroresistance often observed in FQ-R isolates
- Additional testing: growth-based drug susceptibility testing and perhaps MIC testing

<https://jcm.asm.org/content/jcm/54/3/727.full.pdf>

https://www.who.int/tb/publications/2018/WHO_technical_report_concentrations_TB_drug_susceptibility/en/